Artisan iris-fixated toric phakic and aphakic intraocular lens implantation for the correction of astigmatic refractive error after radial keratotomy
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We report 2 patients who had radial keratotomy (RK) to correct myopia. The first patient developed a postoperative hyperopic shift and cataract. Nine years post RK, she had intracapsular cataract extraction and implantation of an Artisan aphakic intraocular lens (IOL). Twenty years post RK, hyperopia and astigmatism progressed to $+7.0 \pm 5.75 \times 100$ with a best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of 20/20. Due to contact lens intolerance, the Artisan aphakic IOL was exchanged for an Artisan toric aphakic IOL. Three months later, the BCVA was 20/20 with $+1.0 \pm 0.50 \times 130$. The second patient demonstrated residual myopic astigmatism 6 years after bilateral RK and had become contact-lens intolerant. An Artisan toric phakic IOL was implanted in both eyes. Four months later, the BCVA was 20/25 with a refraction of $+0.25 \pm 1.0 \times 135$ and 20/20 with a refraction of $-1.0 \times 40$. Both patients were satisfied with the visual outcomes.


Before the introduction of excimer laser technology, radial keratotomy (RK) was the most commonly performed refractive surgical procedure to correct myopia. The development of a hyperopic shift and diurnal fluctuations in visual acuity are common and troublesome side effects after this refractive surgery procedure.1–4 The development of high astigmatism is a less common side effect.1,5

Implantation of an Artisan toric phakic or aphakic intraocular lens (IOL) (Ophtec BV) is another option to correct astigmatic error, allowing spherical and cylindrical correction in a single procedure.5–9 The Artisan IOL is made of poly(methyl methacrylate) and has a convex–concave optic with a spherical anterior surface and a spherocylindrical posterior surface with a 5.0 mm optical zone. The aphakic model is also available with a convex–convex optic configuration. In recent studies of Artisan toric IOL implantation in phakic eyes, 63% to 73% of eyes were within ±0.50 diopters (D) of the predicted correction, with a best corrected visual acuity (BCVA) improvement in 65.7% to 70% of eyes.6–8

We present 2 patients. One had progressive hyperopia and astigmatism after RK for the correction of moderate myopia followed by intracapsular cataract extraction with implantation of an Artisan aphakic IOL. The other patient presented with bilateral residual myopia after a bilateral RK procedure. Artisan toric aphakic or phakic IOLs were implanted in both patients with satisfactory refractive outcomes.

In both patients, IOL power calculations were performed by Ophtec BV. The axis of the cylinder identified by the subjective refraction was used to determine the axis of surgical enclavation. Toric IOLs are available with the cylinder in line with the haptics or at an angle of 90 degrees with the haptics.8 An IOL with the cylinder in line with the haptics is recommended when the preoperative cylinder is between 0 degrees and 45 degrees or between 135 degrees and 180 degrees. The Artisan toric phakic IOL (pIOL) is available in cylindrical powers up to +7.0 D and the Artisan toric aphakic IOL, in cylindrical powers up to
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+4.0 D. These IOLs are custom- and patient-designed. The IOL power was calculated to achieve emmetropia. The enclavation sites were marked on the limbus with a marker before surgical implantation, with the patient sitting upright.

**CASE REPORTS**

**Case 1**

A 74-year-old woman was referred to our clinic because of progressive visual complaints in the right eye. Twenty years earlier, she had had bilateral uneventful RK to correct moderate myopia of −5.0 D in both eyes. The procedure included 8 RK incisions in the right eye, which demonstrated a progressive hyperopic shift postoperatively. Nine years after the RK procedure, uneventful intracapsular cataract extraction with subsequent implantation of an Artisan aphakic IOL (power 23.0 D) was performed in the right eye. After this procedure, the BCVA was 20/25 with +3.0 −4.0 × 120.

Twenty years after the RK procedure, the preoperative BCVA in the right eye was 20/20 with a refraction of +7.0 −5.75 × 100. Topographic keratometry (EyeMap EH-290, Alcon) was 32.1 @ 10/25.8 @ 100 (Figure 1). The endothelial cell density (ECD) was 1633 cells/mm² (Noncon ROBO Pachy SP-9000, Konan Medical, Inc.). The anterior chamber depth (ACD) was 3.45 mm (Visante OCT, Carl Zeiss AG) and the axial length, 26.04 mm. The intraocular pressure (IOP) was 13 mm Hg.

Intraocular lens power calculations were performed (Haigis formula) using the topographically derived keratometric (K)1 (32.1 D) and K2 (25.8 D) meridians and the axial length. This resulted in IOL powers of 24.6 D (K1) and 30.5 D (K2) for emmetropia. In addition, the patient’s residual refractive error in the eye with the Artisan aphakic IOL was taken into account in selecting the necessary IOL power. Because the maximum cylindrical power is +4.0 D, an IOL with a power of +24.0 +4.0 × 0 was custom made to be implanted in the 10-degree axis. Based on this (suboptimal) calculation for emmetropia, the residual refraction was estimated as +3.0 −2.5 × 100, suitable for spectacle correction.

The Artisan aphakic IOL was exchanged for an Artisan toric aphakic IOL through a 5.3 mm corneoscleral incision. After rotation, the IOL was fixated in the 10-degree axis with the use of a disposable enclavation needle (Ophtec BV). The wound was sutured with 4 interrupted 10-0 nylon sutures. The postoperative medical regimen consisted of topical tobramycin 0.3% combined with dexamethasone 0.1% (TobraDex) and ketorolac trometamol 0.5% (Acular) 4 times daily for 3 weeks in a tapered regimen and 3 times daily for 1 week, respectively.

Ten months after the IOL exchange, the patient’s visual complaints had disappeared. The BCVA was 20/20 with a refraction of +1.00 −1.00 × 120. Topographic keratometry was 34.0 @ 20/26.9 @ 110. The ECD was 1383 cells/mm², and endothelial cell loss of the preoperative ECD was 15.3%. The IOP was

![Figure 1. (Case 1) Corneal topography image before implantation of an Artisan toric aphakic IOL demonstrates the large variability (approximately 23 to 38 D) in corneal powers in the 3.0 mm zone.](image-url)
12 mm Hg. Slitlamp examination showed a clear and centered IOL (Figure 2).

**Case 2**

A 43-year-old woman visited our clinic in April 2005 with bilateral visual complaints. In 1995, she had had a bilateral RK procedure for the correction of high myopia in both eyes. The pre-RK refraction was −10.5 −2.0 × 142 in the right eye and −11.25 −3.0 × 1 in the left eye. The procedure included 12 RK incisions in both eyes. Ten years postoperatively, the refraction was −6.0 −3.5 × 135 in the right eye and −6.0 −3.0 × 45 in the left eye. Due to the patient’s contact-lens intolerance, bilateral implantation of an Artisan toric pIOL was scheduled to correct the residual myopia and astigmatism.

Preoperatively, the BCVA was 20/30 with a refraction of −6.0 −3.5 × 130 in the right eye and 20/30 with a refraction of −6.5 −3.0 × 40 in the left eye. Topographic keratometry was 40.0 @ 50/37.4 @ 140 and 39.7 @ 120/37.4 @ 30, respectively (Figure 3). The ECD was 2117 cells/mm² and 1971 cells/mm², respectively. The IOP was 14 mm Hg in both eyes.

Intraocular lens power calculations for postoperative emmetropia were performed using the corneal curvature, adjusted ACD, and manifest spherical errors of the refraction, which is related to the ongoing effect of the procedure and possible insufficient corneal stability.1,3,4,10–14

We cannot explain why the topographical K-values for calculation of the IOL powers led to such a favorable outcome in the first case. One explanation could be that among the variable corneal powers in the 3.0 mm zone (Figure 1), the selected K-value was by chance the most appropriate one. In the second case, the K-values probably regressed toward the corneal curvature parameters prior to the RK since it is unlikely that the K-values after RK (mean value 38.7 D and 38.6 D, right and left eye) were comparable to the pre-RK refractions of −11.5 D and −12.50 D in the right and left eyes, respectively. Following this hypothesis, the topographically measured error might have been smaller.

Various techniques can be used to treat a residual refractive error following RK. Myopic astigmatism can be corrected by a contact lens, but this may be complicated by contact-lens intolerance.16
overcorrected hyperopic eyes after RK but seems to have a diminishing effect over time.\textsuperscript{17,18} Photorefractive keratectomy and laser in situ keratomileusis did not appear to be reasonable options in our 2 cases because of the magnitude of the refractive error and the possible consequences of the development of haze and flap complications.\textsuperscript{19–23} Clear lens extraction could be considered in the second case but would not sufficiently treat the astigmatism; it also has a higher risk for postoperative retinal detachment.\textsuperscript{24,25} Implantation of a (phakic) toric posterior chamber IOL might have been a suitable option in the second case.\textsuperscript{26,27}

Since the spectacle BCVA after RK was $\geq 20/25$ in both eyes, indicating no significant visual loss from irregular astigmatism, penetrating keratoplasty (PKP) was not a viable option. The implantation of toric iris-fixated IOLs in eyes without prior surgery and post-PKP eyes appears to be a safe and predictable method for correcting high levels of astigmatism, with 63\% to 73\% of treated eyes within $\pm 0.50$ D of the predicted correction and a BCVA improvement in 65.7\% to 70\% of eyes.\textsuperscript{7–9,28} To our knowledge, implantation of an Artisan toric IOL in RK eyes has not been described and therefore the long-term visual stability and safety data are unknown. During the procedure, we aimed to avoid wound dehiscence of the RK incisions by making a corneoscleral tunnel 1.5 mm from the limbus.

The amount of endothelial cell loss after Artisan IOL implantation in phakic eyes has been shown to vary and can be as high as 12\%.\textsuperscript{29–32} However, studies of endothelial cell loss in eyes that had Artisan toric IOL implantation after PKP show a loss close to 30\% after 24 months, which suggests that corneal grafts are more susceptible to endothelial cell loss. As far as we know, there are no data in the literature about the long-term effects of the Artisan aphakic IOL on the corneal endothelium.\textsuperscript{9,33} Our first case of implantation of the Artisan toric IOL in an aphakic eye demonstrated an endothelial cell loss close to 14\%. We believe this is an acceptable loss in this patient considering the limited treatment options and the significant visual complaints. The second case of implantation of Artisan toric IOLs in phakic eyes showed a low endothelial cell loss.
loss of −0.14% and 1.27% in the right and left eyes, which agrees with the cell loss in previous reports.

In summary, our first case was successfully treated by implantation of an Artisan toric aphakic IOL and the second case had a similar satisfactory outcome after the implantation of an Artisan toric pIOL. In RK eyes, the removal option can be an important advantage of the Artisan IOL, especially when dealing with potentially progressive refractive error changes after corneal refractive surgery.
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