Iris-fixated Anterior Chamber Phakic Intraocular Lens for Myopia Moves Posteriorly With Mydriasis

Lars P.J. Cruysberg, MD, PhD; Muriel Doors, MD; Tos T.J.M. Berendschot, PhD; John De Brabander, PhD; Carroll A.B. Webers, MD, PhD; Rudy M.M.A. Nuijts, MD, PhD

ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To elucidate the physiological characteristics of eyes implanted with iris-fixated anterior chamber phakic intraocular lenses (pIoLS), which are increasingly being used for the correction of higher myopic and hyperopic refractive errors.

METHODS: In a case series of 20 patients (39 eyes), the position of the pIoL to the natural lens and the cornea was evaluated under photopic (135 lux) and low mesopic (<1 lux) circumstances with anterior segment optical coherence tomography.

RESULTS: The distance between the pIoL and the natural lens decreased, and concurrently, the distance from the pIoL to the corneal endothelium increased under low mesopic circumstances.

CONCLUSIONS: The distance between the pIoL and the corneal endothelium increases when the pupil dilates under dark circumstances, contributing to the already excellent safety data available on pIoL implantation. [J Refract Surg. 2009;25:394-396.]

Iris-fixated anterior chamber phakic intraocular lenses (pIoLS) are increasingly being used for the correction of higher myopic and hyperopic refractive errors.1-3 Although safety data on these lenses are applied,4,5 some physiological characteristics of the eye with a pIoL implantation still need further elucidation. Although several studies have investigated the influence of accommodation on the position of the pIoL,6-8 the effect of darkness-induced pupillary dilation on pIoL position has yet to be reported.
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PATIENTS AND METHODS

The position of the pIoL (Artisan; Ophtec BV, Groningen, The Netherlands) to the natural lens and the corneal endothelium was evaluated under ambient photopic (135 lux) and low mesopic (<1 lux) circumstances with Visante Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT; Carl Zeiss Meditec Inc, Dublin, Calif). Nineteen patients received bilateral pIoLS, one patient had a unilateral pIoL implant. All patients (male/female = 8/12) were myopic preoperatively, with a mean spherical equivalent refractive error of −12.50±5.40 diopters (D). Average patient age at the time of this study was 51.9±9.6 years (range: 33 to 67 years). All patients had stable refractions when the scans were performed.

A single examiner scanned all eyes and performed the measurements with a caliper system provided by the manufacturer. All patients received a myopic pIoL with an optic size of 6 mm. Shortest distances were measured between the pIoL and the natural lens, between the pIoL and the center of the cornea, and between the peripheral edge of the pIoL and the corneal endothelium. Statistics were performed with a paired t test. Values are given as mean±standard deviation.

RESULTS

Figures 1 and 2 show the distance of the natural lens to the pIoL, and the distance between the peripheral edge of the pIoL and the corneal endothelium, in light and dark, respectively.

For the right eye (n=19), the distance between the pIoL and the natural lens decreased by 0.08±0.07 mm from light to dark (P<.001). Congruently, the distance from the pIoL to the corneal endothelium increased by 0.08±0.06 mm (P<.001). The distance between the peripheral edge of the pIoL and the corneal endothelium increased 0.10±0.10 mm (P<.001) on the nasal side and 0.10±0.08 mm (P=.001) on the temporal side.

For the left eye (n=20), from light to dark, a decrease of the pIoL–natural lens distance of 0.05±0.09 mm (P=.035) was observed, as well as an increase of 0.04±0.08 mm (P=.043) of the pIoL–corneal endothelium distance. The peripheral edge of the pIoL diverged from the corneal endothelium by 0.07±0.09 mm (P=.003) nasally and 0.04±0.11 (P=.078) temporally, going from light to dark. Results are displayed in a scatter diagram (Fig 3).

DISCUSSION

It is apparent that the average distance between the pIoL and the natural lens decreases when the pupil dilates under dark circumstances. The measured increased distance between the pIoL and the corneal endothelium is congruent with this outcome.
Using Visante OCT, it is expected to have some degree of target-induced accommodation. Although this potentially could change the position of the PIOL, this phenomenon would occur both in light and dark circumstances, preserving the difference between the photopic and low mesopic measurements.

It should be noted that anterior movement of the natural lens could occur due to over-accommodation under dark circumstances,9 which could potentially also shorten the distance between the PIOL and the natural lens. However, the distance between the PIOL and the natural lens was reported to remain constant during accommodation,7 in agreement with Helmholtz’s theory of accommodation, which is characterized by a forward movement of the diaphragm iris-crystalline lens. It is conceivable that accommodation-induced miosis under light circumstances would result in an anterior motion of both the natural lens as well as the PIOL, thereby keeping the distance between them roughly unaffected. Our findings suggest that change in pupil-size, rather than accommodation, would have more of an impact on PIOL movement.

In the literature, Kohnen et al10 describe a case in which a patient received PIOL implantation in both eyes. The postoperative recovery for the right eye was uneventful, but in the left eye a myopic shift of 4.00 D was observed 10 days after implantation. After pharmacological pupil dilation with tropicamide this myopic shift completely disappeared. According to the authors, one of the possible causes of this acute emmetropization could be an increase in the PIOL–natural lens distance after dilation with tropicamide. Interestingly, in our study almost all of our patients showed an actual decrease of the PIOL–natural lens distance after darkness-induced pupil dilation. Similarly, the fellow eye of the patient experiencing the myopic shift also showed a decrease of the PIOL–natural lens distance after cycloplegia, as did the left eye after re-enclavation of the PIOL. The reason for this is not fully understood, but the authors hypothesized that a shifting distance between the PIOL and the crystalline lens, a pulling action of the PIOL on the ciliary body, or a mechanical stimulation by the PIOL to local innervation or vascularization leading to ciliary muscle contraction all could be contributing factors.

The posterior displacement of the PIOL in dark would translate to a slight hyperopic shift under scotopic/low mesopic circumstances. However, even for high myopic correction this is only 0.25 D. The increased distance of the peripheral edge of the PIOL to the corneal endothelium under low mesopic conditions is an important outcome, contributing to the already excellent safety data available on PIOL implantation when strict inclusion criteria are observed. In general, a safety margin of 1.5-mm distance from the peripheral edge of the PIOL to the corneal endothelium is applied.
Posterior Movement of Phakic IOLs in Low Luminance/Cruysberg et al

This distance becomes even greater in dark circumstances, thereby further decreasing the risk of endothelial cell loss.
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